No. 06-8273

Title:	Stephen Danforth, Petitioner
	v.
	Minnesota
Docketed:	December 12, 2006
Lower Ct:	Supreme Court of Minnesota
Case Nos.:	(A04-1993)
Decision Date:	October 10, 2006

Questions Presented

~~Date~~	~~~~~~~~Proceedings and Orders~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dec 6 2006	Petition for a writ of certiorari and motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis filed. (Response due January 11, 2007)
Dec 19 2006	Waiver of right of respondent Minnesota to respond filed.
Jan 4 2007	DISTRIBUTED for Conference of January 19, 2007.
Jan 10 2007	Response Requested . (Due February 9, 2007)
Feb 12 2007	Brief of respondent Minnesota in opposition filed.
Feb 14 2007	Reply of petitioner Stephen Danforth filed.
Mar 1 2007	DISTRIBUTED for Conference of March 16, 2007.
Mar 20 2007	DISTRIBUTED for Conference of March 23, 2007.
Mar 20 2007	Further Response Requested directed specifically to the following question: Are state supreme courts required to use the standard announced in Teague v. Lane, 489 U. S. 288 (1989), to determine whether United States Supreme Court decisions apply retroactively to state-court criminal cases, or may a state court apply state-law or state-constitution-based retroactivity tests that afford application of Supreme Court decisions to a broader class of criminal defendants than the class defined by Teague? (Due April 19, 2007)
Apr 18 2007	Supplemental response of respondent Minnesota filed.
Apr 26 2007	Supplemental reply of petitioner Stephen Danforth filed.
May 2 2007	DISTRIBUTED for Conference of May 17, 2007.
May 21 2007	Motion to proceed in forma pauperis is granted. The petition for a writ of certiorari is granted limited to Question 1 presented by the petition.
Jun 6 2007	Joint appendix filed. (Statement of costs received)
Jun 21 2007	The time within which to file petitioner's brief on the merits is extended to and including July 19, 2007.
Jul 17 2007	Brief amici curiae of American Civil Liberties Union, et al. filed.
Jul 19 2007	Brief of petitioner Stephen Danforth filed.
Jul 19 2007	Brief amicus curiae of National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers filed.

Jul 19 2007	Brief amici curiae of Kansas, et al. in support of neither party filed.
Aug 23 2007	Brief of respondent Minnesota filed.
Aug 23 2007	Brief amici curiae of Alaska, et al. filed.
Aug 25 2007	CIRCULATED.
Aug 30 2007	SET FOR ARGUMENT Wednesday, October 31, 2007.
Sep 5 2007	Motion of Kansas, et al. for leave to participate in oral argument as amici curiae and for divided argument filed.
Sep 11 2007	Petitioner's opposition to motion of Kansas, et al. filed.
Sep 25 2007	Motion of Kansas, et al. for leave to participate in oral argument as amici curiae and for divided argument DENIED.
Sep 25 2007	Record received from the Supreme Court of Minnesota. (1 envelope)
Sep 27 2007	Reply of petitioner Stephen Danforth filed. (Distributed)
Oct 18 2007	Record received from District Court of Minnesota, Fourth Judicial District (Hennepin County), (1 box).
Oct 31 2007	Argued. For petitioner: Benjamin J. Butler, Assistant Minnesota State Public Defender, Minneapolis, Minn. For respondent: Patrick C. Diamon, Deputy County Attorney, Minneapolis, Minn.